

SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence and Cross-Variant Antibody Neutralization in Cats, United Kingdom

Grace B. Tyson, Sarah Jones, Nicola Logan, Michael McDonald, Leigh Marshall, Pablo R. Murcia, Brian J. Willett, William Weir, Margaret J. Hosie

Anthropogenic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to pet cats highlights the importance of monitoring felids for exposure to circulating variants. We tested cats in the United Kingdom for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies; seroprevalence peaked during September 2021–February 2022. The variant-specific response in cats trailed circulating variants in humans, indicating multiple human-to-cat transmissions over a prolonged period.

The World Organisation for Animal Health reported that 26 different animal species had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 by December 31, 2022; ≈30% (8/26) of the susceptible species are felids (1). Animal SARS-CoV-2 infections originating from anthropogenic transmission can lead to onward animal-to-animal transmission, as described previously in mink (2), hamsters (3), and white-tailed deer (4). There have also been reports of animal-to-human transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from farmed mink (2), pet hamsters (5), free-ranging white-tailed deer (6), and a pet cat (7).

It is unknown whether individual SARS-CoV-2 variants are more or less likely to be transmitted from humans to cats or whether infected cats are more or less likely to develop clinical signs. The aim of this study was to assess the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in cats during April 2020–February 2022 in the United Kingdom. We used a pseudotype-based neutralization assay (PVNA) to measure virus neutralizing antibody titers and a confirmatory ELISA that measured antibodies recognizing the receptor

binding domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein. We measured neutralizing titers against a panel of viral pseudotypes based on a lentiviral (HIV) backbone and bearing the S proteins of the predominant circulating variants in the United Kingdom to investigate the specificity of the neutralizing response. The University of Glasgow Veterinary Ethics Committee granted approval for the study (EA27/20).

The Study

We screened residual blood samples from 2,309 cats by using PVNA at a final dilution of 1:100; the samples were submitted to the University of Glasgow Veterinary Diagnostic Services laboratory (VDS) during April 2020–February 2022 (Figure 1, panel A). The samples represented a cohort that was broadly representative of the domestic cat population in the United Kingdom, including samples from 112 of the 126 UK postcode areas (Appendix 1 Figure 1, <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/29/6/22-1755-App1.pdf>), although the samples had an uneven distribution unrelated to the local human population density. Overrepresented areas included Blackpool, Glasgow, Edinburgh, and Cambridge. The PVNA used HIV (SARS-CoV-2) pseudotypes bearing S proteins of SARS-CoV-2 ancestral D614G (B.1), Alpha (B.1.1.7), Delta (B.1.617.2) or Omicron (BA.1). Samples submitted early in the pandemic were tested against ancestral D614G (B.1) only, whereas new variants were included as they emerged (Appendix 2, <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/29/6/22-1755-App2.xlsx>). We estimated neutralization titers for positive samples by performing the PVNA with serially diluted samples.

Our results showed that SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in UK cats increased over time (Figure 1, panel B).

Author affiliations: Medical Research Council—University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, Glasgow, Scotland, UK (G.B. Tyson, S. Jones, N. Logan, P.R. Murcia, B.J. Willett, M.J. Hosie); University of Glasgow, Glasgow (G.B. Tyson, S. Jones, M. McDonald, L. Marshall, W. Weir)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2906.221755>




Figure 1. Seropositivity of samples included in analysis in study of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and immunity in cats, United Kingdom, April 2020–February 2022. A) Number of samples tested per month. Overall seropositivity across all samples was 3.2% (75/2,309). B) Percentage seropositivity of samples per 3-month period and sample size for each period. VDS, University of Glasgow Veterinary Diagnostic Services laboratory.

Overall, the seroprevalence during the study period was 3.2% (95% CI 2.56%–4.05%; 75/2,309). Seroprevalence was highest during September–November 2021 (5.3%, 95% CI 3.69%–7.23%; 35/666) and during December 2021–February 2022 (5.2%, 95% CI 3.09%–8.05%; 18/348).

When we analyzed individual samples, we observed differences in variant-specific potencies among titers against the different SARS-CoV-2 variants: 17/75 (22.7%) samples were B.1 dominant (i.e., they possessed higher titers against B.1 than against other variants); 31/75 (41.3%) were Alpha dominant, and 27/75 (36%) were Delta dominant. On average, Delta-dominant samples displayed higher neutralization titers (mean 760) against their dominant pseudotype compared with Alpha-dominant (488; $p = 0.06$) or B.1-dominant (329; $p = 0.02$) samples (Appendix 1 Figure 2). Throughout the study period (April 2020–February 2022), no Omicron-dominant seropositive samples were identified; we anticipated this finding because only a small proportion of samples were collected after the Omicron variant emerged.

We observed an association between the dominant variant in cats and the timeline of variant emergence in the human population. Detection of new domi-

nant variants in cats trailed detection of the variant in the humans; however, we detected dominant titers against extinct variants even after human cases had declined, possibly indicating long-lasting humoral immunity (Figure 2). We observed 3 distinct patterns of neutralization. B.1-dominant samples generally had slightly lower titers against the Alpha pseudotype than against B.1. Those samples also had significantly lower titers against both the Delta ($p < 0.0001$) and Omicron ($p < 0.001$) pseudotypes. Alpha-dominant samples showed slightly lower B.1 titers and markedly lower Delta and Omicron titers. Delta-dominant samples showed similar titers against the B.1, Alpha, and Omicron pseudotypes, all of which were significantly lower than their Delta titers ($p < 0.0001$) (Appendix 1 Figure 3).

The trends we observed for cats thought to have been infected with the B.1 variant are similar to the patterns of neutralization in humans reported previously (8); Wilhelm et al. showed that humans vaccinated with an ancestral strain-based vaccine develop lower neutralization titers against the Delta and Omicron variants than against B.1 or Alpha. Another study showed that cats experimentally inoculated with either the ancestral or the Delta variant became lethargic and pyrexia, whereas Omicron-inoculated

cats did not develop any clinical signs and displayed lower levels of virus shedding, suggesting that the Omicron variant might be less pathogenic in cats as well as in humans (9).

Despite those distinct patterns of neutralization, the variant to which the animal was exposed can only be speculatively inferred through serologic testing in the absence of viral sequence data, even in cases in which the titer against the dominant variant is many times greater than the next highest titer. The 3 specific patterns of immunity we observed were similar to previous findings in humans (10). It is likely

that both the antigenicity of the different variants' S proteins and the viral load during the infection period influence the breadth and potency of variant-specific neutralization.

A greater proportion of purebred cats (31/720 [4.3%, 95% CI 2.94%–6.06%]) than nonpedigree cats (39/1,300 [3%, 95% CI = 2.14%–4.08%]) were seropositive; however, this finding was not significant ($p = 0.1$). Purebred cats are more likely to be kept indoors only and may therefore experience more close contact with their owners, meaning they are more prone to exposure to SARS-CoV-2 if their owners become infected.




Figure 2. Dominant variant of seropositive samples by date in study of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and immunity in cats, United Kingdom, April 2020–February 2022. A) Timeline of key events during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United Kingdom, including the emergence of major variants into the human population. B) Seropositive samples from cats, categorized by dominant variant and plotted by month. B.1 indicates ancestral/wild-type virus.

Table. Overview of longitudinal samples used in study of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and immunity in cats, United Kingdom, April 2020–February 2022*

Sample	Days between sampling	Titer			% Decrease per day		
		B.1	Alpha	Delta	B.1	Alpha	Delta
Cat F	12	490	257	601	5.90	0.90	4.10
		146	229	303			
Cat G	175	586	677	243	0.40	0.40	0.40
		134	170	58			
Cat H	94	687	825	2,165	0.30	0.20	0.70
		474	678	685			
Cat J	175	627	719	247	0.30	0.40	0.40
		318	241	79			
Cat L	23	109	102	468	-7.20	1.40	1.60
		289	70	301			

*We used 2 samples from each of 5 animals, taken ≥ 12 d apart. The earlier sample was used in the overall analysis; however, newer samples were also tested. Values related to each variant are shown for each sample, with the earlier sample above and later below. Titers are color-coded by size (stronger titers and greater decreases are shown with darker shading). B.1 indicates ancestral/wild-type virus.

Although a definitive protective threshold antibody level for SARS-CoV-2 has not yet been established, waning neutralizing antibody levels in humans after vaccination have been associated with reinfection and reduced protection against novel variants (11). Sequential samples ≥ 12 days apart were collected from 5 seropositive cats. In all 5 cases, the neutralizing titers against SARS-CoV-2 waned over time. The average percentage decrease in titer per day was highly variable across samples, although for 3 of 5 cats it was consistent across all variants (Table).

Conclusions

This study demonstrated increasing seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the UK domestic cat population, consistent with results reported in a survey of cats and dogs recently conducted in Canada (12) and the low seroprevalence observed during the first and second waves of the pandemic (13,14). This increase could be explained by the persistence of the humoral response over time, with a consequent accumulation in the number of seropositive results in the population. In addition, increased seroprevalence during the later months of the pandemic may mean the likelihood of human-to-cat transmission is greater for newer variants that have previously been shown to be more readily transmitted between humans (15), although this hypothesis has not been confirmed experimentally.

This study demonstrates the importance of adopting a One Health approach to monitor SARS-CoV-2 infections in pet cats that are in close contact with their SARS-CoV-2-positive owners. Changes in transmissibility of emerging variants should be monitored in cats as well as humans.

This article was preprinted at <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.11.18.517046v1>.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dawn Dunbar and Andrea Bowie for assisting with sample provision.

UK Research and Innovation—Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (UKRI-BBSRC) contributed to the funding of this research.

About the Author

Miss Tyson is a PhD candidate at the MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, Glasgow, Scotland. Her primary research interests include viral immunology, humoral immunity, and viruses at the human–animal interface.

References

1. World Organisation for Animal Health. COVID-19—events in animals. 2022 [cited 2022 May 1]. <https://www.oie.int/en/what-we-offer/emergency-and-resilience/covid-19/#ui-id-3>
2. Oreshkova N, Molenaar RJ, Vreman S, Harders F, Oude Munnink BB, Hakze-van der Honing RW, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in farmed minks, the Netherlands, April and May 2020. *Euro Surveill.* 2020;25:2001005. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.23.2001005>
3. Sia SF, Yan LM, Chin AWH, Fung K, Choy KT, Wong AYL, et al. Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. *Nature.* 2020;583:834–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2342-5>
4. Kuchipudi SV, Surendran-Nair M, Ruden RM, Yon M, Nissly RH, Vandegrift KJ, et al. Multiple spillovers from humans and onward transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in white-tailed deer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2022;119:e2121644119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2121644119>
5. Yen HL, Sit THC, Brackman CJ, Chuk SSY, Gu H, Tam KWS, et al.; HKU-SPH Study Team. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 delta variant (AY.127) from pet hamsters to humans, leading to onward human-to-human transmission: a case study. *Lancet.* 2022;399:1070–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)00326-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00326-9)
6. Pickering B, Lung O, Maguire F, Kruczkiewicz P, Kotwa JD, Buchanan T, et al. Divergent SARS-CoV-2 variant emerges in white-tailed deer with deer-to-human transmission. *Nat*

- Microbiol. 2022;7:2011–24. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01268-9>
7. Sila T, Sunghan J, Laochareonsuk W, Surasombatpattana S, Kongkamol C, Ingviya T, et al. Suspected cat-to-human transmission of SARS-CoV-2, Thailand, July–September 2021. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2022;28:1485–8. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2807.212605>
 8. Wilhelm A, Widera M, Grikscheit K, Toptan T, Schenk B, Pallas C, et al. Limited neutralisation of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants BA.1 and BA.2 by convalescent and vaccine serum and monoclonal antibodies. *EBioMedicine.* 2022;82:104158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104158>
 9. Martins M, do Nascimento GM, Nooruzzaman M, Yuan F, Chen C, Caserta LC, et al. The Omicron variant BA.1.1 presents a lower pathogenicity than B.1 D614G and Delta variants in a feline model of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *J Virol.* 2022;96:e0096122. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00961-22>
 10. Manali M, Bissett LA, Amat JAR, Logan N, Scott S, Hughes EC, et al. SARS-CoV-2 evolution and patient immunological history shape the breadth and potency of antibody-mediated immunity. *J Infect Dis.* 2022;227:40–9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiac332>
 11. Ahmed S, Mehta P, Paul A, Anu S, Cherian S, Shenoy V, et al. Postvaccination antibody titres predict protection against COVID-19 in patients with autoimmune diseases: survival analysis in a prospective cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2022;81:868–74. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221922>
 12. Bienzle D, Rousseau J, Marom D, MacNicol J, Jacobson L, Sparling S, et al. Risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection and illness in cats and dogs. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2022;28:1154–62. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2806.220423>
 13. Smith SL, Anderson ER, Cansado-Utrilla C, Prince T, Farrell S, Brant B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies in dogs and cats in the United Kingdom. *Curr Res Virol Sci.* 2021;2:100011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crviro.2021.100011>
 14. Mahase E. Delta variant: what is happening with transmission, hospital admissions, and restrictions? *BMI.* 2021;373:n1513.
 15. Torjesen I. Covid-19: Omicron may be more transmissible than other variants and partly resistant to existing vaccines, scientists fear. *BMJ.* 2021;375:n2943. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n2943>

Address for correspondence: Grace Tyson, Henry Wellcome Building, Rm 434, Garscube, Glasgow, G61 1QH Scotland, UK; email: g.tyson.1@research.gla.ac.uk

EID Podcast

Increased Seroprevalence Signals the Reemergence of Typhus Group Rickettsiosis in Galveston County, Texas, USA

Murine typhus is an acute febrile illness caused by fleaborne *Rickettsia typhi* bacteria. Although vector control campaigns led to a drastic decrease in disease incidence in the United States, typhus group rickettsiosis (TGR) reemerged in Galveston, Texas in 2013. Whether the recent increase in TGR in Texas represents reemergence due to regional changes in ecologic factors or newfound physician awareness is unclear.

In this EID podcast, Dr. Lucas Blanton, an infectious disease physician and associate professor of medicine at the University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston, Texas discusses increases in typhus group rickettsiosis in Galveston County, Texas

Visit our website to listen:
<https://bit.ly/3l4AsVN>

**EMERGING
INFECTIOUS DISEASES®**

SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence and Cross-Variant Antibody Neutralization in Cats, United Kingdom

Appendix 1

Methods

Samples

Residual blood samples for serologic testing were obtained from the University of Glasgow Veterinary Diagnostic Services laboratory (VDS). These samples had been submitted by practicing UK veterinary surgeons for purposes including routine monitoring, pre-breeding screening, testing for other infections and the diagnosis of hormonal disorders. Residual serum/plasma that would otherwise have been discarded after all requested tests had been completed was used for this study. None of the samples had been submitted because of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection. These samples represented a cohort broadly representative of the domestic cat population throughout the UK. Poor quality samples, for example those displaying marked hemolysis, were excluded. Ethical approval for the study and was granted by the University of Glasgow Veterinary Ethics Committee (EA27/20). Samples were given a unique identification number on arrival, and investigators (GT, NL and SJ) were blinded to sample metadata until the data analysis stage.

Serologic Testing

Samples were initially screened at a final dilution of 1 in 100 using a pseudotype-based virus neutralization assay (PVNA). PVNA positive samples were confirmed using a double antigen binding assay (DABA) ELISA that detected antibodies recognizing the receptor-binding domain of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein. Neutralizing antibody titers were estimated by performing a PVNA with serially diluted samples.

For the neutralization assays, HIV (SARS-CoV-2) pseudotypes were constructed bearing the spike proteins of either the Wuhan-Hu-1 D614G (B.1), Alpha (B.1.1.7), Delta (B.1.617.2) or

Omicron (BA.1) SARS-CoV-2 variants. Samples collected early in the pandemic were tested against Wuhan-Hu-1 D614G (B.1) only while new variants were included in the assay over time, as each new SARS-CoV-2 variant emerged during subsequent waves of the pandemic.

Pseudotype-Based Virus Neutralization Assay

The method for this assay has been described previously (1). Briefly, HEK293, HEK293T, and 293-ACE2 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 200mM L-glutamine, 100µg/ml streptomycin and 100 IU/ml penicillin. HEK293T cells were transfected with the appropriate SARS-CoV-2 S gene expression vector (wild type or variant) in conjunction with p8.91 (2) and pCSFLW (3) using polyethylenimine (PEI, Polysciences, Warrington, USA). HIV (SARS-CoV-2) pseudotypes were harvested from culture fluids 48 hours post-transfection, filtered at 0.45µm, aliquoted and frozen at -80°C before use. The SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein expression constructs were synthesized by GenScript (Netherlands). Constructs bore the following mutations relative to the Wuhan-Hu-1 sequence (GenBank: MN908947):

- **B.1 (Wuhan-Hu-1 D614G)** – D614G
- **B.1.1.7 (Alpha)** – Δ69–70, Δ144, N501Y, A570D, D614G, P681H, T716I, S982A, D1118H
- **B.1.617.2 (Delta)** – T19R, G142D, Δ156–157, R158G, L452R, T478K, D614G, P681R, D950N
- **B.1.1.529 (Omicron BA.1)** - A67V, Δ69–70, T95I, G142D/Δ143–145, Δ211/L212I, ins214EPE, G339D, S371L, S373P, S375F, K417N, N440K, G446S, S477N, T478K, E484A, Q493R, G496S, Q498R, N501Y, Y505H, T547K, D614G, H655Y, N679K, P681H, N764K, D796Y, N856K, Q954H, N969K, L981F

All synthesized S genes were codon-optimized, incorporated the mutation K1255STOP to enhance surface expression, and were cloned into the pcDNA3.1(+) eukaryotic expression vector. 293-ACE2 target cells (4) were maintained in complete DMEM supplemented with 2µg/ml puromycin.

The fixed dilution screen was performed with serum/plasma diluted 1:50 in complete DMEM (in duplicate) for each pseudotype. Diluted samples were incubated with HIV (SARS-CoV-2) pseudotypes for 1 hour and plated onto 239-ACE2 target cells. After 48–72 hours, luciferase activity was quantified by the addition of Steadylite Plus chemiluminescence substrate and analysis on a Perkin Elmer EnSight multimode plate reader (PerkinElmer, Beaconsfield, UK). Samples which reduced the infectivity of the pseudotypes by at least 90% were classed as positive. For positive samples, neutralizing activity was then quantified by serial dilution. Each sample was serially diluted (in triplicate) from 1:50 to 1:36450 in complete DMEM before incubation with the respective viral pseudotype. Antibody titer was then estimated by interpolating the point at which infectivity had been reduced to 90% of the value for the no serum control samples.

Seropositive cats were categorized according to the pseudotype variant against which the highest neutralizing titer was obtained. For example, samples showing a higher titer against the Delta pseudotype compared to the other pseudotypes were categorized as “Delta dominant.”

Double Antigen Bridging Assay ELISA

All samples that appeared positive on the initial fixed dilution PVNA were tested using a species agnostic double antigen bridging assay (Microimmune SARS-CoV-2 Double Antigen Bridging Assay (COVT016), Clin-Tech, Guildford, England) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, to determine whether samples contained antibodies to the B.1 SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain. This was used to confirm results of the pseudotype-based neutralization assay by confirming low chemiluminescence readings were caused by high levels of antibody rather than any toxic contamination of samples killing the cells.


Data Analysis

Duplicate samples were removed while samples from the same animal tested multiple times were identified and the earliest sample was used to estimate seroprevalence. A small number of animals had multiple samples submitted to the VDS at different times and, using these samples, longitudinal titers were tabulated to explore the effect of time on the development of the humoral response to SARS-CoV-2. Data were analyzed and graphs prepared using GraphPad Prism 9.3.1. and Microsoft Excel. Distribution of data was assessed using a Shapiro-Wilk Normality test. Sample metadata (age, sex, location, breed) was acquired from information


recorded in the VDS database, which was supplied by submitting veterinary surgeons. Differences between groups were assessed for significance in paired data using a Wilcoxon test and in unpaired data using a Mann-Whitney test or ANOVA. Significance of categorical data was assessed using a Chi-Square test.

References

1. Davis C, Logan N, Tyson G, Orton R, Harvey WT, Perkins JS, et al.; COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) Consortium; COVID-19 DeplOyed VaccinE (DOVE) Cohort Study investigators. Reduced neutralisation of the Delta (B.1.617.2) SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern following vaccination. *PLoS Pathog.* 2021;17:e1010022. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010022](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010022)
2. Zufferey R, Nagy D, Mandel RJ, Naldini L, Trono D. Multiply attenuated lentiviral vector achieves efficient gene delivery in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1997;15:871–5. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0997-871](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0997-871)
3. Zufferey R, Dull T, Mandel RJ, Bukovsky A, Quiroz D, Naldini L, et al. Self-inactivating lentivirus vector for safe and efficient in vivo gene delivery. *J Virol.* 1998;72:9873–80. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.72.12.9873-9880.1998](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.72.12.9873-9880.1998)
4. Hughes EC, Amat JAR, Haney J, Parr YA, Logan N, Palmateer N, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 serosurveillance in a patient population reveals differences in virus exposure and antibody-mediated immunity according to host demography and healthcare setting. *J Infect Dis.* 2021;223:971–80. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa788](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa788)



Appendix Figure 2. Seropositive cases shown by dominant variant. Seropositive samples categorized by their dominant variant (A). The average titer produced by each serum sample against its dominant variant (B). Normality of sample distribution was assessed using a Shapiro-Wilk test and significance was assessed using a Mann-Whitney test (ns, not significant; *, $p < 0.05$). Seropositive samples categorized by breed – either nonpedigree (C) or pedigree (D). Seropositive cats of unknown breed were not included in this figure.



Appendix Figure 3. Virus neutralization titers of seropositive samples grouped by dominant variant. Neutralizing titers for samples classified by dominant variant, showing the 3 distinct patterns of immunity (ns, not significantly different. Asterisks indicate significant differences as follows: *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$; ****, $p < 0.0001$, by Wilcoxon test). Mean patterns of cross-neutralization for each dominant group are displayed in bold color in line graphs.